arXiv:1407.4089v2 [math.CA] 7 Nov 2014

**Homomorpisms and Functional Equations:**
**The Goldie Equation**
**by**
**A. J. Ostaszewski**

**Abstract.** The theory of regular variation, in its Karamata and Bojanić-Karamata/de Haan forms, is long established and makes essential use of the Cauchy functional equation. Both forms are subsumed within the recent theory of Beurling regular variation, developed elsewhere. Various generalizations of the Cauchy equation, including the Gołąb-Schinzel functional equation ($GS$) and Goldie's equation ($GBE$) below, are prominent there. Here we unify their treatment by 'algebraicization': extensive use of group structures introduced by Popa and Javor in the 1960s turn all the various (known) solutions into homomorphisms, in fact identifying them 'en passant', and show that ($GS$) is present everywhere, even if in a thick disguise.

**Key words**: Beurling regular variation, Beurling's equation, self-neglecting functions, Cauchy equation, Gołąb-Schinzel equation, circle roup, Popa group.

# 1 Introduction

We are concerned here with an 'algebraic conversion' of two functional equations, so that each solution function describes a homomorphism. Both are known in the functional equations literature in connection originally with problems arising in utility theory and go back to Lundberg [Lun] and Aczél [Acz] (see below for more recent studies); there, however, they were studied in order to classify their solutions, cf. [AczD]. Our purposes here, which are algebraic, are thus different and are motivated by a different context. The nearest to our theme of homomorphy is the paper of Kahlig and Schwaiger [KahS], which studies a sequence of deformations taking the equation ($GS$) below in the limit to the classical Cauchy functional equation ($CFE$). For us the equations arise first in the classical Karamata theory of Regular Variation (briefly, RV – see [BinGT], henceforth BGT, the standard text, and [BinO3] for updates) and now in the recently developed theory of Beurling RV, as in [BinO4,6], which includes the Karamata theory. In the latter context, the first functional equation (in the unknowns $K$ and $\psi$), the generalized 'Goldie-Beurling equation', has the form

$$K(x+y\eta(x))-K(y)=\psi(y)K(x) \qquad (x,y\in\mathbb{R}), \qquad (GBE)$$

where for some $\rho\in\mathbb{R}$

$$\eta(x)\equiv 1+\rho x,$$

the classical Karamata case being $\rho=0$ and the general Beurling case $\rho>0$. In the RV literature this equation appears in [BinG], in work inspired by Bojanić

and Karamata [BojK], and is due principally to Goldie ('Goldie's equation'). In both these cases the solution $K$ describes a function derived from the limiting behaviour of some regularly varying function (see §2 below). For this reason one may expect (by analogy with the various derivatives encountered in functional analysis) that $K$ should be an analogue of a linear function. Indeed, for $\rho = 0$ and specializing to $\psi \equiv 1$, the earliest classical case, $K$ is *additive*, hence a search for homomorphism, when $\rho > 0$, dictates our agenda below as an algebraic complement (or companion piece) to the analysis of [BinO5,6].

We denote by $GS$ the family of functions $\eta$ above, since they satisfy the 'conditional form ' (as $x, y \geqslant 0$) of the *Gołąb-Schintzel functional equation*

$$\eta(x + y\eta(x)) = \eta(x)\eta(y) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+). \tag{$GS$}$$

For their significance to RV see the recent [BinO6] and for their significance elsewhere, especially to the theory of functional equations, [Brz5].

The second functional equation of concern replaces the $K$ on the right of $(GBE)$ by a further unknown function $\kappa$, yielding a natural 'Pexiderized' generalization[1]

$$K(x + y\eta(x)) - K(y) = \psi(y)\kappa(x) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}), \tag{$GBE$-$P$}$$

considered also in [ChuT]. Passage to this more general format is motivated by a desire to include $(GS)$, as the case $K = \psi = \eta$ and $\kappa = \eta - 1$, which turns out to be highly thematic (see [Ost2], and Theorem $1'$). Note that in this specialization the corresponding derivatives are identical: $K' = \kappa'$; cf. §5.

## 2 Popa circle groups

Recall that any ring $R$ equipped with its *circle product* $x \circ y := x + y + xy$ (see [Jac2, II.3], [Jac3]) is a monoid ([Coh1, §3]), the *circle monoid*, with neutral element 0. (Below this format is preferable to the alternative: $x + y - xy$, isomorphic under the negation $x \mapsto -x$.) When $x \circ y = y \circ x = 0$, the elements $x, y$ are *quasi-inverses* of each other in the ring, and the *quasi-units* (those having quasi-inverses) form the *circle group* of $R$. See e.g. [ColE] for recent advances on circle groups and historical background. There is an intimate connection with the *Jacobson radical* of a ring (see [Coh2, §5.4], or Jacobson [Jac1] in 1945), characterized as the maximal ideal of quasi-units, which was prompted by Perlis in 1942 introducing the circle operation. The corresponding notion in a Banach algebra is that of left and right adverses, similarly defined – see [Loo, §20C, 21C]. For the connection of adverses with the automatic continuity of characters, see [Dal, Prop. 3.1].

The *operation*

$$x \circ_\eta y := x + y\eta(x),$$

[1] Acknowledging the connection, the qualifier $P$ in $(GBE$-$P)$ is for 'Pexiderized' Goldie-Beurling equation – referring to Pexider's equation: $f(xy) = g(x)+h(y)$ and its generalizations – cf. [Brz1, 3], and the recent [Jab].

with $\eta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ arbitrary, was introduced in 1965 to the study of equation $(GS)$ by Popa [Pop] and later Javor [Jav] (in the broader context of $\eta : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{F}$, with $\mathbb{E}$ a vector space over a commutative field $\mathbb{F}$), who observed that the equation is equivalent to the operation $\circ_\eta$ being associative on $\mathbb{R}$, and that $\circ_\eta$ confers a group structure on $\mathbb{G}_\eta := \{g : \eta(g) \neq 0\}$ – see [Pop, Prop. 2], [Jav, Lemma 1.2]. Below we term this a *Popa circle group*, or *Popa group* for short (see §3 below), as the case $\eta(x) = 1 + x$ (i.e. when $\rho = 1$ above, so that $\eta$ represents a 'shift') yields precisely the circle group of the ring $\mathbb{R}$.

As $\circ_\eta$ turns $\eta$ into a homomorphism from $\mathbb{G}_\eta$ to $(\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}, \times)$:

$$\eta(x \circ_\eta y) = \eta(x)\eta(y) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{G}_\eta),$$

and given the group-theoretic framework of RV which leads to $(GBE)$ and $(GBE\text{-}P)$ above, it is natural to seek further group structures permitting $(GBE)$, as a property of $K$, to be *algebraicized* so as to express a homomorphism between Popa groups:

$$K(x \circ_\eta y) = K(y) \circ_\sigma K(x) \text{ for some } \sigma \in GS. \qquad (CBE)$$

(Of course, necessarily, $\psi(y) \equiv \sigma(K(y))$.) Given its context and form, we term this a *Cauchy-Beurling equation* $(CBE)$. Indeed, the case $\rho = 0$ rewritten as a difference equation,

$$\Delta_y K(x) - K(y)\psi(x) = 0, \qquad \Delta_y K(x) := K(x + y) - K(y),$$

suggests that $K(y)$ should induce some form of shift. This difference theme is exploited in §5 on flows, and linked with integration.

Theorem 1 in §3 below gives necessary and sufficent conditions for $(GBE)$ to be algebraicized (as above), yielding 'en passant' the form of such a $K$ directly from classical results concerning $(CFE)$. Likewise Theorem $1'$ in §4 below gives necessary and sufficent conditions for $GBE\text{-}P$ to be algebraicized (as above); this builds on the technique of Theorem 1, and is similar but more involved. This again yields en passant the form of such a $K$ directly from classical results concerning $(CFE)$.

Theorem 2 in §5 continues the investigation of $(GBE\text{-}P)$ when the auxiliary $\psi$ is assumed differentiable, reducing it directly to the context of Theorem 1, i.e. the algebraicization of $(GBE)$. (The differentiability assumption is again motivated by regular variation.) Interpreting $\circ_\eta$ as a group action, or flow, the underlying homomorphy is now expressed not by $K$ but by the *relative flow-velocity* $f(x) := \eta(x)/\psi(x)$ : under mild regularity assumptions, if $K$ solves $(GBE\text{-}P)$, then $f$ (equivalently its inverse) satisfies

$$f(x \circ_\eta y) = f(x)f(y).$$

There is a converse for $\psi := \eta/f$ – see Prop. D in §5.

Our quest for algebraicization links with results not only of Aczél but also of Chudziak [Chu1], who in 2006 considered the problem of identifying pairs $(f, g)$ satisfying the functional equation

$$f(x + yg(x)) = f(x) \circ f(y) \qquad (ChE)$$

for $f : \mathbb{R} \to (S, \circ)$ with $(S, \circ)$ a semigroup, and $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous.

We note two recent papers: [Chu2], where $\mathbb{R}$ is replaced by a vector space over the field of real or complex numbers, and [ChuK], where $f, g$ are both assumed continuous and $(S, \circ)$ is the group of multiplicative reals.

We turn to some background. The functions in $GS$ have their origin for RV in the asymptotic analysis of *self-equivarying functions* $\varphi$, briefly $\varphi \in SE$ [Ost2], which for some function $\eta > 0$ satisfy

$$\varphi(x + t\varphi(x))/\varphi(x) \to \eta(t) \qquad (x \to \infty,\ \forall t), \tag{$SE$}$$

locally uniformly in $t$. For $\eta \equiv 1$, these specialize to the *self-neglecting* functions of Beurling (BGT 2.3.1, [Kor, IV.11]; cf. [BinO4]). For $\varphi \in SE$ the limit $\eta = \eta^{\varphi}$ is necessarily in $GS$ [Ost2]. Only $(CFE)$ visibly identifies its solution $K$ as a *homomorphism* – of the additive group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ – whereas homomorphy is a central feature in the recent topological development of the theory of regular variation [BinO1,2], [Ost1]. The role of homomorphy is new in this context, and is one of our principal contributions here.

At its simplest, a functional equation as above arises when taking limits

$$K_F(t) := \lim_{x\to\infty}[F(x + t\varphi(x)) - F(x)], \tag{$BK$}$$

for $\varphi \in SE$: if $\eta$ satisfies $(SE)$, above then, for $s, t$ ranging over the set $\mathbb{A}$ on which the limit function $K_F$ (*Beurling kernel*) exists as a locally uniform limit,

$$K_F(s + t) = K_F(s/\eta(t)) + K_F(t) : \qquad K_F(t + s\eta(t)) = K_F(s) + K_F(t).$$

As we shall see, both $\mathbb{A}$ and $K_F(\mathbb{A})$ carry group structures under which $K_F$ is a homomorphism. Thus, even in the classical context, $(GS)$ plays a significant role albeit disguised and previously unnoticed, despite its finger-print: the terms +1 or -1, appearing in the formulas for $K_F$ (cf. Th. 1(iv) below). See [BinO2] for a deeper analysis of the connection between asymptotics of the form $(BK)$ in a general topological setting involving group homomorphisms, and [BinO6] and [Ost3] for the broader context here.

Previously, in [BinO5], the equations $(GBE\text{-}P)$ above were all analyzed using Riemann sums and associated Riemann integrals, introduced there as a means of extending Goldie's initial approach (via geometric series). Below we offer an approach to all of the above equations that is new to the regular variation literature, and partly familiar, albeit in a different setting, in the $GS$-literature of 'addition formulae' – see [Brz3, 6] and [Mur] (this goes back to Aczél and Gołąb [AczG]): we intertwine Popa groups and integration.

Corresponding to a less restrictive asymptotic analysis (BGT Ch. 3), the functional equations above give way to functional inequalities. For instance,

$$F(x + y) \leqslant e^y F(x) + F(y), \tag{$GFI$}$$

the *Goldie functional inequality* (see [BinO5] for background and references) becomes group-subadditivity:

$$G(x + y) \leqslant G(x) \circ_k G(y).$$

Our analysis lends new clarification, via the language of homomorphisms, to the 'classical relation' in RV, connecting $K$ and the auxiliary function $\psi$, which says that $K = c(\psi - 1)$ and $\psi \equiv e^{\cdot}$ (cf. [BGT Lemma 3.2.1], [BinO5, Th. 1]); in particular we point below to the implicit role of $GS$. Also, we explain and extend the result of [BinO5, Th. 9] that the solution in $K$, subject to $K(0) = 0$, positivity of $\kappa$ (i.e. to the right of 0) and continuity and positivity of $\psi$, satisfies for some $c \geqslant 0$

$$K(x) = c \cdot \tau_f(x), \text{ for } \tau_f(x) := \int_0^x \mathrm{d}u/f(u), \text{ with } f := \eta/\psi.$$

For an interpretation of $\tau_f$, inspired by Beck [Bec], as the *occupation time measure* (of $[0, x]$) of the continuous $f$-flow: $dx/dt = f(x)$, see [BinO6] (and [BinO4]).

# 3 Algebraicization of Goldie's equation

We return to Popa's contribution [Pop], recalling again from Javor [Jav] that $\circ_\eta$ is associative iff $\eta$ satisfies the Gołąb-Schinzel equation ($GS$) above. Then for $\eta \neq 0$ $(\mathbb{G}_\eta, \circ_\eta)$ is a group ([Pop, Prop. 2], [Jav, Lemma 1.2]), and ($GS$) asserts that $\eta$ is a homomorphism from $\mathbb{G}_\eta$ to $(\mathbb{R}^*, \cdot) := (\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}, \times)$ :

$$\eta(x \circ_\eta y) = \eta(x)\eta(y).$$

If $\eta$ is injective on $\mathbb{G}_\eta$, then $\circ_\eta$ is *commutative*, as ($GS$) is symmetric on the right-hand side. Continuous solutions of ($GS$), positive on $\mathbb{R}_+$, are given by

$$\eta_\rho(x) := 1 + \rho x$$

(see e.g. [Brz5] or the more recent [BinO5]). Whenever context permits, if $\eta \equiv \eta_\rho$, write the group operation and the Popa group as

$$a \circ_\rho b, \qquad (\mathbb{G}_\rho, \circ_\rho);$$

here $\mathbb{G}_\rho = \mathbb{R}\backslash\{1/\rho\}$ and $\mathbb{G}_0 = \mathbb{R}$. As $(x \circ_\rho y)/\rho \to xy$ as $\rho \to \infty$, write also $\mathbb{G}_\infty := \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\} = \mathbb{R}^*$, and $\circ_\infty \equiv \cdot$ (multiplication); then $\mathbb{G}_\rho$ takes in the additive reals at one end ($\rho = 0$), and the multiplicative reals at the other; indeed

$$a \circ_0 b := a + b.$$

For the intermediate values of $\rho \in (0, \infty)$, $\eta_\rho : \mathbb{G}_\rho \to \mathbb{R}^*$ is an isomorphism, as

$$\eta_\rho(x \circ_\rho y) = \eta_\rho(x)\eta_\rho(y).$$

Rescaling its domain, $\mathbb{G}_\rho$ is typified by the case $\rho = 1$, where

$$a \circ_1 b = a + b + ab = (1 + a)(1 + b) - 1 : \qquad (\mathbb{G}_1, \circ_1) = (\mathbb{R}^*, \cdot) - 1,$$

and the isomorphism $\eta_1$ is a shift/translation (cf. [Pop, §3]).

Before considering homomorphisms between the groups above we formulate a result that has two useful variants, relying on *commutativity* or *associativity*, whence the subscripts.

**Lemma $\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{com}}$.** *If $(CBE)$ holds for an injective $K$, arbitrary $\sigma$ with $\circ_\sigma$ commutative, and arbitrary $\eta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, then $\eta(u) \equiv 1 + \rho u$, for some constant $\rho$.*

**Proof.** Here $K(u + v\eta(u)) = K(u) \circ_\sigma K(v) = K(v) \circ_\sigma K(u) = K(v + u\eta(v))$, as $\circ_\sigma$ is commutative. By injectivity, for all $u, v$

$$u + v\eta(u) = v + u\eta(v) : \quad u(1 - \eta(v)) = v(1 - \eta(u)),$$

so $(\eta(u) - 1)/u \equiv \rho = \text{const.}$ for $u \neq 0$, and, taking $v = 1$, $\eta(u) \equiv 1 + \rho u$ for all $u$. □

**Lemma $\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{assoc}}$.** *If $(CBE)$ holds for an injective $K$, arbitrary $\sigma$ with $\circ_\sigma$ associative, and arbitrary positive continuous $\eta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, then $\eta(u) = 1 + \rho u$ $(u \geqslant 0)$, for some constant $\rho$.*

**Proof.** This follows e.g. from Javor's observation above connecting associativity with $(GS)$ ([Jav, p. 235]). Here $K(u \circ_\eta (v \circ_\eta w)) = K(u) \circ_\sigma K(v) \circ_\sigma K(w) = K((u \circ_\eta v) \circ_\eta w)$, so from injectivity:

$$u \circ_\eta (v \circ_\eta w) = (u \circ_\eta v) \circ_\eta w,$$

i.e. $\circ_\eta$ is associative, so satisfies $(GS)$. By results in [Brz2] and [BrzM], positivity and continuity imply $\eta \in GS$. □

For $\circ_\eta = \circ_0$ and $\circ_\sigma = \circ_\infty$, the equation $(CBE)$ reduces to the exponential format of $(CFE)$ ([Kuc, §13.1]; cf. [Jab]). The critical case for Beurling regular variation is for $\rho \in (0, \infty)$, with positive continuous solutions described as follows. In the table below the four corner formulas correspond to classical variants of $(CFE)$.

**Proposition A** (cf. [Chu1])**.** *For $\circ_\eta = \circ_r, \circ_\sigma = \circ_s$, and $f$ Baire/measurable satisfying $(CBE)$, there is $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $f(t)$ is given by:*

| Popa parameter | $s = 0$ | $s \in (0, \infty)$ | $s = \infty$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| $r = 0$ | $\gamma t$ | $(e^{\gamma t} - 1)/s$ | $e^{\gamma t}$ |
| $r \in (0, \infty)$ | $\gamma \log(1 + rt)$ | $[(1 + rt)^\gamma - 1]/s$ | $(1 + rt)^\gamma$ |
| $r = \infty$ | $\gamma \log t$ | $(t^\gamma - 1)/s$ | $t^\gamma$ |

**Proof.** Each case reduces to $(CFE)$ or a classical variant by an appropriate shift and rescaling. For instance, given $f$, for $r, s > 0$ set

$$F(t) := 1 + sf((t-1)/r) : \qquad f(\tau) = (F(1 + r\tau) - 1)/s.$$

Then with $u = 1 + rx, v = 1 + ry$, as $(uv - 1)/r = x \circ_r y$,

$$F(uv) = 1 + sf(x \circ_r y) = 1 + sf(x) + sf(y) + s^2 f(x)f(y) = F(u)F(v).$$

So, as $F$ is Baire/measurable (see again [Kuc, §13]), $F(t) = t^\gamma$ and so $f(t) = [(1 + rt)^\gamma - 1]/s$. The remaining cases are similar. □

Th. 1 below is our main result relating solubility of $(GBE)$ and the existence of a homomorphism, as in condition (iii). Here condition (ii) identifies the connection $K(u) \equiv (\psi(u) - 1)/s$ which is no surprise in view of [BojK, (2.2)] and BGT Lemma 3.2.1, and the recent [BinO5, Th. 1] – cf. §1. This, however, is the nub, as $\psi(u) \equiv 1 + sK(u) = \eta_s(K(u))$.

Note that (iv) below covers the classical Cauchy case, provided that for $\gamma = 0$ we interpret both $c(e^{\gamma x} - 1)/\gamma$ and $c[(1 + \rho x)^\gamma - 1]/\rho\gamma$ by continuity as $cx$.

Below and elsewhere a function is *non-trivial* if it not identically zero and not indentically 1, and is *positive* if it is positive on $(0, \infty)$.

**Theorem 1.** *For $\eta \in GS$ in the setting above, $(GBE)$ holds for positive $\psi$ and a non-trivial $K$ iff*
(i) *$K$ is injective;*
(ii) *$\sigma =: \psi K^{-1} \in GS$, equivalently, either $\psi \equiv 1$, or for some $s > 0$*

$$K(u) \equiv (\psi(u) - 1)/s \text{ and } \psi(0) = 1, \text{ so } K(0) = 0;$$

(iii)

$$K(x \circ_\eta y) = K(x) \circ_\sigma K(y). \qquad \text{(Hom-1)}$$

*Then*
(iv) *for some constants $c, \gamma$*

$$K(x) \equiv c \cdot [(1 + \rho x)^\gamma - 1]/\rho\gamma, \text{ or } \qquad K(t) \equiv \gamma \log(1 + \rho t) \qquad (\rho_\eta > 0),$$
$$\text{or} \qquad K(x) \equiv c \cdot (e^{\gamma x} - 1)/\gamma \qquad (\rho_\eta = 0).$$

**Proof.** Consider any non-zero $K$; this is strictly monotone and so injective, as

$$K(x + y) - K(y) = K(x)\psi(y/\eta(x)) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{G}_\eta),$$

and so continuous, by [BinO5, Th. 9, or Lemma]. So $\psi$ is continuous, since

$$\psi(y) \equiv [K(\xi \circ_\eta y) - K(y)]/K(\xi),$$

for any $\xi$ with $K(\xi) \neq 0$. For convenience, write $k := K^{-1}$ and $\sigma(t) := \psi(k(t))$, i.e. a composition so continuous. Then

$$K(y) \circ_\sigma K(x) = K(y) + \psi(k(K(y))K(x) = K(x)\psi(y) + K(y), \qquad (*)$$

so with $u = K(x), v = K(y)$, $(GBE)$ becomes

$$K(k(u) \circ_\eta k(v)) = v + u\psi(k(v)) = v \circ_\sigma u : \qquad k(u \circ_\sigma v) = k(u) \circ_\eta k(v),$$

as $\circ_\eta$ is commutative ($\eta \in GS$). So (Hom-1) follows from (*). Lemma $1_{\rm com}$ now applies to $k$, as $\circ_\eta$ is commutative. So $\sigma \in GS$ (as $\sigma$ is positive and continuous). So for some $s, \rho \geqslant 0$

$$\eta(t) \equiv 1 + \rho t \text{ and } \sigma(t) \equiv 1 + st \qquad (t \geqslant 0).$$

That is, $\psi(K^{-1}(t)) \equiv \sigma(t) \equiv 1 + st$, so $\psi(x) = 1 + sK(x)$, on substituting $t = K(x)$. So if $s > 0$

$$K(x) = (\psi(x) - 1)/s \qquad (x \geqslant 0).$$

If $s = 0$, then $\psi(t) \equiv 1$. In any case $\psi(0) = 1$, since setting $y = 0$ in $(GBE)$ gives $(1 - \psi(0))K(x) \equiv K(0) = 0$, but $K$ is injective, so non-trivial. Substituting into $(GBE)$ yields (as in [BinO5, Th. 1] for the case $\circ_\eta = +$)

$$\psi(x \circ_\eta y) = \psi(y)(\psi(x) - 1) + \psi(y) = \psi(x)\psi(y),$$

so $\psi : \mathbb{G}_\rho \to \mathbb{G}_\infty$ is a continuous homomorphism, and Prop. A applies. If $\rho = \rho_\eta = 0$, then $\psi(t) \equiv 1$ or $\psi(t) \equiv e^{\gamma t}$ with $\gamma \neq 0$, and for $c = \gamma/s$

$$K(t) \equiv c(e^{\gamma t} - 1)/\gamma, \qquad (s > 0), \text{ or } \qquad K(t) \equiv \gamma \log(1 + \rho t) \qquad (s = 0).$$

Otherwise, $\psi \equiv (1 + \rho x)^\gamma$ with $\gamma \neq 0$, and then for $c = \rho\gamma/a$

$$K(x) \equiv [(1 + \rho x)^\gamma - 1]/a = c[(1 + \rho x)^\gamma - 1]/\rho\gamma,$$

with $\gamma = 0$ yielding linear $K$ by our 'L'Hospital convention'. The converse is similar but simpler. □

**Remarks.** 1. For (iv) see [Acz] and [Chu1], and note from the comparison that all positive solutions arise as homomorphisms.
2. Since $0 = 1_{\mathbb{G}}$ for $\mathbb{G}$ a Popa group, (Hom-1) implies $K(0) = 0$.

The following Goldie functional inequality, for $\eta \in GS$ continuous, also arises (in Beurling regular variation) for $K : \mathbb{G}_\eta \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$K(x \circ_\eta y) \leqslant \psi(y)K(x) + K(y) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{G}_\eta); \qquad (GBFI)$$

the case $\eta \equiv 1$ arises in RV (BGT Ch. 3). With $\sigma(x) := \psi(K^{-1}(x))$ this is

$$K(x \circ_\eta y) \leqslant K(x) \circ_\sigma K(y) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{G}_\eta).$$

Equation $(GFI)$ above has the equivalent form

$$F(xy) \leqslant yF(x) + F(y) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+), \qquad (GFI_+)$$

for $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$. The Popa approach with $\sigma = F^{-1}$ here yields

$$F(xy) \leqslant F(y) \circ_\sigma F(x) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+),$$

i.e. group-theoretic subadditivity (cf. BGT Ch. 3).

# 4 Algebraicization of the Pexiderized equation

In this section, similarly to Th. 1 above (§3), we characterize circumstances when solubility of $(GBE\text{-}P)$ is equivalent to a homomorphy (under $K$). This relies on the function $\kappa$ – see below. A word of warning: the roles of the functions $\psi$ and $\kappa$ are *complementary* rather than equivalent: their interchange forces an interchange of $x$ and $y$ significant for the subtracted term (on the left). The subsequent section (§5) uses $\kappa$ but focuses on $\psi$ (via $\psi/\eta$).

In $(GBE)$ the value of $\psi(0)$, if non-zero, has no significant role, and may without loss of generality be scaled to unity; in $(GBE\text{-}P)$ the value $\psi(0)$ has a more significant role, both in relation to $\sigma := \psi K^{-1}$ (cf. Th. 1) and in controlling whether $K$ and $\kappa$ are identical. This is clarified by Proposition B below. THrought this section

$$\eta(x) \equiv 1 + \rho x.$$

We begin with some useful

**Observations.** 1. *The value of $K(0)$ may be arbitrary; if $\psi(0) = 0$, then $K$ is constant.*
The solubility of $(GBE\text{-}P)$ is unaffected by the choice of $K(0)$, since $K(x)$ may be replaced by $K(x) - K(0)$. If $\psi(0) = 0$, then $K(x) \equiv K(0)$ – take $y = 0$ in $(GBE\text{-}P)$.
2. *If $K$ satisfies $(GBE\text{-}P)$, then*
(i) $K(x) \equiv \psi(0)\kappa(x) + K(0)$;
(ii) *provided $\psi(0) \neq 0$, $\psi(0)\kappa$ satisfies $(GBE)$ and $\kappa(0) = 0$.*
Taking $y = 0$ gives (i). Substitution, for $\psi(0) \neq 0$, into $(GBE\text{-}P)$ yields

$$\kappa(x + y\eta(x)) = \kappa(y) + \kappa(x)\psi(y)/\psi(0).$$

In particular, $\kappa(0) = 0$ (put $x = y = 0$).
3. *For $\psi$ and $\kappa$ positive, both $K$ and $\kappa$ are continuous and invertible.*
This follows from [BinO5, Lemma] as $\kappa$ here is strictly monotone; hence so is $K(x)$ by 2(ii). From here we have the following extension of [BinO5, Th. 1]:

**Lemma 2**. *For $\psi$ and $\kappa$ positive, there is $s \geqslant 0$ such that*

$$\tilde{\psi}(x) := \psi(x)/\psi(0) = 1 + s\kappa(x).$$

*So $\kappa : \mathbb{G}_\rho \to \mathbb{G}_s$ is a homomorphism, and*

$$\text{either } \tilde{\psi} \equiv 1, \text{ or } \kappa(x) = (\tilde{\psi}(x) - 1)/s \text{ with } s > 0,$$

*equivalently for $s > 0$, $\tilde{\psi} : \mathbb{G}_\rho \to \mathbb{G}_\infty$ is a homomorphism:*

$$\tilde{\psi}(x + y\eta(x)) = \tilde{\psi}(x)\tilde{\psi}(y).$$

**Proof.** Since $K(x \circ_\eta y) = K(y \circ_\eta x)$ and $K(x) = \psi(0)\kappa(x) + K(0)$,

$$\psi(0)\kappa(y) + K(0) + \psi(y)\kappa(x) = \psi(0)\kappa(x) + K(0) + \psi(x)\kappa(y).$$

Since $\kappa$ is positive, for $x, y > 0$

$$\kappa(y)[1 - \tilde{\psi}(x)] = \kappa(x)[1 - \tilde{\psi}(y)] : \qquad [\tilde{\psi}(x) - 1]/\kappa(x) = [\tilde{\psi}(y) - 1]/\kappa(y) = s,$$

say. Substituting $\tilde{\psi}(x) \equiv 1 + s\kappa(x)$ in $(GBE\text{-}P)$,

$$\kappa(x + y\eta(x)) = \kappa(y) + \kappa(x)(1 + s\kappa(y)).$$

For $s > 0$, writing $\kappa$ in terms of $\tilde{\psi}$ and cancelling $s$,

$$[\tilde{\psi}(x + y\eta(x)) - 1] = [\tilde{\psi}(y) - 1] + [\tilde{\psi}(x) - 1]\tilde{\psi}(y). \qquad \square$$

4. *If $K = \kappa$, then $\psi(0) = 1$ and $\sigma(t) := \psi(K^{-1}(t)) = 1 + st$.*
Immediate from 2(ii) above and $\psi(x)/\psi(0) = 1 + s\kappa(x)$. Theorem 1 in §3 above motivates the interest in $\psi K^{-1}$. Proposition B below extends this observation, and helps clarify Theorem 1′, the main result of this section.

**Proposition B.** *If $\sigma := \psi K^{-1} \in GS$ and $\psi(t)/\psi(0) = 1 + s\kappa(t)$, then $\sigma(t) \equiv 1 + st$ and one of the following two conditions holds:*
(i) *$\psi(t) \equiv \psi(0)$ and $K(x) \equiv \psi(0)\kappa(x) + K(0)$;*
(ii) *$s > 0$ and $K = \kappa$ iff $\psi(0) = 1$.*

*Proof.* Put $\sigma(t) := 1 + ct$, with $c \geqslant 0$. Since $\psi(K^{-1}(t)) = \psi(0)(1 + s\kappa(K^{-1}(t)))$,

$$1 + ct = \psi(0)[1 + s\kappa(K^{-1}(t))] : \qquad [1 + s\kappa(x)]\psi(0) = (1 + cK(x)).$$

From the latter, $c = 0$ iff $s = 0$, as $K$ and $\kappa$ are non-constant. If $c = s = 0$, then, again as $K$ is non-constant, $\psi(t) \equiv \psi(0)$, and so Observation 2(ii) applies. Suppose next that $c > 0$. Then

$$K(x) = \psi(0)\kappa(x)s/c + (\psi(0) - 1)/c.$$

So, again since $K(x) = \psi(0)\kappa(x) + K(0)$ and $\kappa(0) = 0$,

$$K(0) = (\psi(0) - 1)/c, \text{ and } s = c > 0.$$

So if $\psi(0) = 1$, then $K(0) = 0$ and $K(x) = \kappa(x)$. Conversely, if $K = \kappa$, then $K(0) = 0$, and so $\psi(0) = 1$. $\square$

Theorem 1 identifies when $\kappa$ is a homomorphism, but this yields no similar information about $K$ except when $K(0) = 0$. So we proceed as follows. Suppose that $(GBE\text{-}P)$ is soluble with $\psi, \kappa$ positive. Then, as $K$ and $\kappa$ are strictly monotone (cf. observation 3 above), put $y = K^{-1}(v)$, $x = \kappa^{-1}(u)$; then,

$$K(\kappa^{-1}(u) + K^{-1}(v)\eta(\kappa^{-1}(u))) = v + \psi(K^{-1}(v))u = v \circ_\sigma u,$$

where $\sigma(t) := \psi(K^{-1}(t))$. Apply $K^{-1}$ :

$$\kappa^{-1}(u) \circ_\eta K^{-1}(v) = \kappa^{-1}(u) + K^{-1}(v)\eta(\kappa^{-1}(u)) = K^{-1}(v \circ_\sigma u).$$

Writing

$$\alpha(t) := \kappa^{-1}(K(t)), \qquad \beta(t) := \eta(\kappa^{-1}(K(t))), \qquad u \equiv K(K^{-1}(u)),$$

this says

$$\alpha(K^{-1}(u)) + K^{-1}(v)\beta(K^{-1}(u)) = K^{-1}(v \circ_\sigma u).$$

This suggests an extension of Popa's idea:

$$u \circ v := \alpha(u) + v\beta(u),$$

with $\alpha, \beta$ continuous and $\alpha$ invertible. Supposing this to yield a group structure (see below) and assuming $\sigma \in GS$ (so that $\circ_\sigma$ is commutative), we arrive at a homomorphism

$$K^{-1}(u \circ_\sigma v) = K^{-1}(u) \circ K^{-1}(v). \qquad \text{(Hom-2)}$$

We need to note the example $\alpha(x) = x+b$ with $\beta(x) \equiv 1$. Here $x \circ y = x+y+b$, so that $x \circ y \circ z = x + y + z + 2b$, and the neutral element $e$ satisfies

$$x + e + b = x \text{ iff } e = -b, \qquad \text{then } x^{-1} = -x - 2b.$$

We write $+_b$ for this operation and call this group the *b-shifted additive reals.*[2] Note that $+_0 = + = \circ_0$.

**Proposition C.** *The operation $\circ$ is a group operation on a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ containing 0 iff the subset is closed under $\circ$ and for some constants $b, c$ with $bc = 0$*

$$\alpha(x) \equiv x + b \text{ and } \beta(x) \equiv 1 + c(x + b).$$

*That is:*

$$\alpha(x) \equiv x \text{ and } \beta(x) \equiv 1 + cx, \text{ OR } \alpha(x) \equiv x + b \text{ and } \beta(x) \equiv 1.$$

*So this is either a Popa group $x \circ_c y := x + y(1 + cx)$, or the b-shifted additive reals with the operation $x +_b y := x + y + b$.*

**Proof.** Suppose that $\circ$ defines a group. In the application later we assume that $\alpha$ is injective, but here for 0 an element of the group, $\alpha(x) = x \circ 0$, and then $\alpha$ must be injective. By associativity,

$$(x \circ y) \circ z = \alpha(x \circ y) + z\beta(x \circ y) \qquad (x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}),$$

and

$$x \circ (y \circ z) = \alpha(x) + (y \circ z)\beta(x) = \alpha(x) + (\alpha(y) + z\beta(y))\beta(x) \qquad (x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}).$$

[2]The multiplicative analogue $x \circ y := xy/b$ comes from the format $x \circ y := \alpha(x) + x\beta(y)$.

Comparing the $z$ terms,

$$\beta(x \circ y) = \beta(x)\beta(y) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}),$$

and so

$$\alpha(x \circ y) = \alpha(x) + \alpha(y)\beta(x) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}). \qquad (**)$$

So, as $\alpha$ is injective,

$$\beta\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(x) + \alpha(y)\beta(x)) = \beta(x)\beta(y) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Put $u := \alpha(x)$ and $v = \alpha(y)$ :

$$\beta\alpha^{-1}(u + v\beta\alpha^{-1}(u)) = \beta\alpha^{-1}(u)\beta\alpha^{-1}(v) \qquad (u, v \in \mathbb{R}),$$

so that $\beta\alpha^{-1} \in GS$, assuming continuity. So for some $c \geqslant 0$

$$\beta\alpha^{-1}(u) \equiv 1 + cu : \qquad \beta(v) \equiv 1 + c\alpha(v) \qquad (u, v \in \mathbb{R}).$$

So

$$x \circ y = \alpha(x) + y(1 + c\alpha(x)) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}).$$

So by (**)

$$\alpha(\alpha(x) + y(1 + c\alpha(x))) = \alpha(x) + \alpha(y)(1 + c\alpha(x)) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Recalling that $\beta\alpha^{-1}(u) \equiv 1 + cu$, writing $u = \alpha(x)$ and $v$ for $y$, this is

$$\alpha(u + v(1 + cu)) = u + \alpha(v)(1 + cu) = u(1 + c\alpha(v)) + \alpha(v) \qquad (u, v \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Now set $v = 0$ to obtain, with $a := (1 + c\alpha(0))$ and $b := \alpha(0)$,

$$\alpha(u) = au + b \qquad (u \in \mathbb{R}).$$

As $\alpha$ is injective $a \neq 0$. If $e$ is the neutral element, then

$$y = e \circ y = \alpha(e) + y\beta(e) \qquad (y \in \mathbb{R}),$$

so $\alpha(e) = 0$ (taking $y = 0$) and $\beta(e) = 1$ (taking $y \neq 0$). So $\alpha(e) = ae + b = 0$, and so $e = -b/a$. Right-sided neutrality requires that

$$x = x \circ e = \alpha(x) + e\beta(x) = ax + b + e(1 + cax) = ax - bcx + b + e \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

So $e = -b = -b/a$, so $a = 1$ and $bc = 0$.

One possibility is $b = 0 = e$, i.e. $\alpha(x) \equiv x$ and $\beta(x) \equiv 1 + cx$. (Indeed, $e = 1_c = 0$.) The other possibility is $c = 0$, in which case $\beta(x) \equiv 1$, $\alpha(x) \equiv x + b$, and $e = -b$. □

Applying this result we deduce the circumstances when ($GBE$-$P$) may be transformed to a homomorphism between (usually, Popa) groups. We then read off the form of the solution function from Prop. A. In the theorem below we

see that $K(x) \equiv (\psi(y)-1)/s$ only in the cases (i) and (iii), but not in (ii) – compare Th. 1. Indeed, in (ii) below $K$ is affinely related to $\kappa$, unless $K(0)=0$ (and then iff $b=0$ and $\kappa \equiv K$). Section 4 pursues the affine relation.

Below recall that a function is *positive* if it is so on $(0,\infty)$, and note that in all cases $\kappa$ is a homomorphism between Popa groups.

**Theorem 1′** *If (GBE-P) is soluble for $\psi$ positive, $\kappa$ positive and invertible, $\eta(x) \equiv 1+\rho x$ (with $\rho \geqslant 0$), then $\circ$ is a group operation and $K^{-1}$ is a homomorphism under $\circ$:*

$$K^{-1}(u \circ_\sigma v) = K^{-1}(u) \circ K^{-1}(v) \qquad (u,v \in \mathbb{R}),$$

*iff $\sigma := \psi K^{-1} \in GS$ and one of the following three conditions holds:*
(i) *$\rho = 0$, $\circ = \circ_0$ and $\circ_\sigma = \circ_s$ for some $s>0$; then for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$K(t) \equiv \kappa(t) \equiv (e^{\gamma t}-1)/s\ , \qquad \psi(t) \equiv e^{\gamma t};$$

(ii) *$\rho = 0$, $\circ_\sigma = \circ_0$ and $\circ = +_b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{R}$; then*

$$K(t) \equiv \kappa(t+b) = \kappa(t)+\kappa(b), \qquad \psi(t) \equiv 1 \qquad (t \in \mathbb{R}),$$

*and $\kappa : \mathbb{G}_0 \to \mathbb{G}_0$ is linear;*
(iii) *$\rho > 0$, $\circ = \circ_\rho$ and $\circ_\sigma = \circ_s$ for some $s \geqslant 0$; then for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} K(t) & \equiv & \kappa(t) \equiv [(1+\rho t)^\gamma - 1]/s, \quad (s>0) \quad , \text{ or } \gamma \log(1+rt) \quad (s=0), \\ \psi(t) & \equiv & (1+\rho t)^\gamma \quad (s>0) \quad , \text{ or } \psi(t) \equiv 1 \quad (s=0). \end{array}$$

**Proof.** We suppose first that $\circ$ is a group operation. As above

$$K^{-1}(v \circ_\sigma u) = K^{-1}(u) \circ K^{-1}(v);$$

using this and associativity of $\circ$, Lemma $1_{\text{assoc}}$ (with $k = K^{-1}$ for $K$, $\circ$ for $\circ_\sigma$ and $\circ_\sigma$ for $\circ_\eta$) implies that $\sigma \in GS$, as $\sigma$ is positive and continuous: so for some $s \geqslant 0$

$$\sigma(t) = \psi(K^{-1}(t)) = 1+st : \qquad \psi(t) = 1+sK(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}),$$

as in Prop. B. So $\circ_\sigma$ is commutative and (Hom-2) holds and $\psi(0)=1$.

By Prop. C, $K^{-1}$ is a homomorphism iff one of the following two cases arises.
*Case (i): Popa case $\circ = \circ_c$.* For some $c \geqslant 0$

$$\kappa^{-1}(K(x)) = \alpha(x) \equiv x, \text{ and } \beta(y) = \eta(\kappa^{-1}(K(y))) \equiv 1+cy.$$

So

$$K(t) = \kappa(t) \text{ and } 1+\rho\kappa^{-1}(K(t)) = 1+ct \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

If $\rho > 0$, on rearranging $\kappa^{-1}(K(y)) \equiv cy/\rho$, so combining and using injectivity:

$$K(t) = \kappa(t) = \kappa(ct/\rho) : \qquad c = \rho \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

So $\circ = \circ_\rho$ and by (Hom-2),

$$K^{-1}(u \circ_s v) = K^{-1}(u) \circ_\rho K^{-1}(v) \quad (u, v \in \mathbb{R}). \tag{Hom-3}$$

So $K : \mathbb{G}_\rho \to \mathbb{G}_s$ is a homomorphism. By Prop. A for some $\gamma$,

$$K(t) \equiv ((1+\rho t)^\gamma - 1)/s \quad \text{or } \gamma \log(1+\rho t) \quad (s = 0).$$

If $\rho = 0$, then $c = 0$, i.e. $\eta \equiv \beta \equiv 1$, and so again (Hom-3) holds but with $\rho = 0$ :

$$K(t) = (e^{\gamma t} - 1)/s \quad (s > 0), \text{ or } \gamma t \quad (s = 0).$$

*Case (ii): Shifted case.* For some $b$

$$\kappa^{-1}(K(x)) = \alpha(x) \equiv x + b, \text{ and } \beta(y) = \eta(\kappa^{-1}(K(y))) = 1 + \rho\kappa^{-1}(K(y)) \equiv 1.$$

So $\rho = 0$, as $\kappa^{-1}(K(y)) \equiv y + b$ is non-zero. Furthermore, as $K(x) \equiv \kappa(x + b)$, writing $K$ and $\psi$ in terms of $\kappa$ in $(GBE\text{-}P)$,

$$K(x+y) = \kappa(x+y+b) = \kappa(y+b) + \kappa(x)(1 + s\kappa(y+b)) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Putting $z = y + b$,

$$\kappa(x+z) = \kappa(z) + \kappa(x)(1 + s\kappa(z)) = \kappa(x) \circ_s \kappa(z) \quad (x, z \in \mathbb{R}).$$

So[3] $\kappa : \mathbb{G}_0 \to \mathbb{G}_s$ is a homomorphism (and $\kappa(0) = 0$). So if $s = 0$, then $\psi \equiv 1$ and $\kappa$ is linear: $K(x) = \kappa(x + b) = \kappa(x) + \kappa(b)$. If $s > 0$, then, as $\psi(0) = 1$, $K(x) = \kappa(x) + K(0)$; but by Prop. A

$$\kappa(x) = (e^{\gamma x} - 1)/s : \qquad K(x) = (e^{\gamma(x+b)} - 1)/s = e^{\gamma b}\kappa(x) + \kappa(b).$$

So $b = 0$, and $K = \kappa$, which is included as $\circ = \circ_0 = +_0$. The converse is similar and simpler. □

**Remarks.** 1. The implications (i)-(iii) are new here, but for their conclusions see also [Acz] and [Chu1]; as with Th. 1 in §3 above, a comparison shows that all positive solutions arise as homomorphisms.
2. The transformations used to obtain a homomorphism in fact simplify $(GBE\text{-}P)$ to the case where $\kappa(u) = u$ and $\psi(v) = 1 + c\alpha(v)$.

# 5 Flows

Using Riemann sums and their limits [BinO5, Th. 9] gives conditions[4] such that if $(GBE\text{-}P)$ is soluble, then the solution function $K$ and the auxiliary $\kappa$ are differentiable, and $K' = c \cdot \psi/\eta$ for some constant $c$. We give a new proof which

[3] Alternatively, apply Prop. A to $F(t) := K^{-1}(t) + b$, as $F : \mathbb{G}_s \to \mathbb{G}_0$, since $K^{-1}(u \circ_\eta v) = K^{-1}(u) + K^{-1}(v) + b$.

[4] Specializing to the present context: $\kappa$ positive to the right near 0 and $\psi$ continuous.

also extends our understanding of $(GBE\text{-}P)$ by reference to the underlying flow velocity $f := \eta/\psi$.

Indeed, this section focuses via $f$ on the auxiliary $\psi$ rather than on $\kappa$, though $\kappa$ continues to play a part. We assume below that $\psi(0) \neq 0$, in order to pursue the affine relation $K(x) \equiv \psi(0)\kappa(x) + K(0)$ (cf. Observation 2(ii) of §4). To link results below to earlier ones note that if $K(0) = 0$, then $K \equiv \kappa$ iff $\psi(0) = 1$ (cf. also Prop. B). Recall, however, that in $(GBE\text{-}P)$ the value $K(0)$ need not be zero. For $\tau_f$ see §1.

**Theorem 2.** *For $\kappa, \eta \in GS$ continuous and $\psi$ not identically zero and differentiable*: *if the solution $K$ to $(GBE\text{-}P)$ is continuous, then either $K$ is constant or:*
(i) *$K$ is differentiable and $K'(x) \equiv \kappa'(0)/f(x)$ for $f(x) := \eta(x)/\psi(x)$;*
(ii) *$\kappa'(x)/\kappa'(0) \equiv K'(x)/K'(0)$ and $\kappa(x) = c\tau_f(x)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$;*
(iii) *$K_0' := K/K'(0) : (\mathbb{R}, \circ_\sigma) \to (\mathbb{R}, \cdot)$ is a homomorphism:*

$$K_0'(x + y\eta(x)) = K_0'(x)K_0'(y).$$

We defer the proof to the end of the section, but note the immediate

**Corollary 1.** *In the setting of Theorem 2*
(i) *$K(x) \equiv \kappa'(0)\tau_f(x) + K(0)$, where $f(x) := \eta(x)/\psi(x)$ is the relative flow-velocity*;
(ii) *$\kappa(x) \equiv aK(x) + b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$*;
(iii) *provided $\psi(0) = 1$, the flow-velocity $f : (\mathbb{R}, \circ_\eta) \to (\mathbb{R}, \cdot)$ is a homomorphism, equivalently $f$ solves Chudziak's functional equation $(ChE)$. So $\psi(x) \equiv \eta(x)/f(x)$, where $f$ satisfies $(ChE)$.*

**Remarks.** 1. As $(GS)$ corresponds to $K = \psi = \eta$, $\kappa(u) = \eta(u) - 1$, here $K(0) = \psi(0) = \eta(0) = 1$ and $f = \eta/\psi = 1$; so $\tau_f(x) = x$ and $\kappa(x) = cx$, so $\eta(x) = K(x) = \kappa(x) + K(0) = cx + \eta(0) = 1 + cx$.
2. The classical $(GBE)$ case corresponds to $\eta \equiv 1$ (i.e. $\rho = 0$) and $\kappa = K$, so $\psi(x) = 1/f(x) = e^{\gamma x}$ , as $f$ is a Popa-homomorphism by Prop. A. So $K(x) = \kappa(x) = c\tau_f(x)$ with $\tau_f(x) \equiv (e^{\gamma x} - 1)/\gamma$.

We begin with a Proposition which, taken together with Theorem 2 above, characterizes the solutions to $(GBE\text{-}P)$ in terms of $f$. Below it is more convenient to take $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$.

**Proposition D.** *If $f$ satisfies $(CBE)$, then subject to $K(0) = 0$, $K \equiv \tau_f(x)$ solves $(GBE\text{-}P)$ for the auxiliaries $\psi(x) := \eta(x)/f(x)$ and $\kappa \equiv \tau_f(x)$.*

**Proof.** Substituting for $K$ in $(GBE\text{-}P)$, and using $u + \eta\sigma(u) = v + u\eta(v)$, as $\eta \in GS$, we are to prove that

$$K(v + u\eta(v)) - K(v) = \int_v^{v+u\eta(v)} \mathrm{d}t/f(t) = \psi(v)\kappa(u) = \psi(v) \int_0^u \mathrm{d}t/f(t).$$

This follows from

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(v)\int_0^u \mathrm{d}t/f(t) &= \eta(v)/f(v)\int_0^u \mathrm{d}t/f(t) = \eta(v)\int_0^u \mathrm{d}t/f(v)f(t)\\ &= \eta(v)\int_0^u \mathrm{d}t/f(v+t\sigma(v)) \text{ (put } w = v+t\eta(v))\\ &= \int_v^{v+u\eta(v)} \mathrm{d}w/f(w). \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 2.** *In the setting of Prop. D the solution $K \equiv \tau_f$ of (GBE-P) takes one of the forms:*

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_f(x) &\equiv \int_0^x e^{\gamma t}\mathrm{d}t = (e^{\gamma x}-1)/\gamma, && (\rho = 0, \gamma \neq 0),\\ \tau_f(x) &\equiv \int_0^x (1+\rho t)^{\gamma}\mathrm{d}t = ((1+\rho x)^{\gamma+1}-1)/\rho(\gamma+1), && (\rho \in (0,\infty), \gamma \neq -1),\\ \tau_f(x) &\equiv x, && (\rho \in [0,\infty]).\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Apply Prop. A, writing $\gamma$ for $-\gamma$. The final formula is the limit of the cases $\rho = 0$ and $\rho > 0$ as $\gamma$ approaches 0 or $-1$, respectively. $\square$

Theorem 2 above is a converse to this. We will need the following 'smoothness result'. (For continuity and differentiability of integrals with respect to a parameter, see [Jar, §§ 3 and 11]). Recall that for a Popa group $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_\eta$, $1_{\mathbb{G}} = 0$ and $\circ^{-1}$ denotes its inverse.

**Proposition E (Convolution Formula).** *For differentiable $\eta \in GS$,*

$$\mathrm{d}x_\circ^{-1} = -\eta(s)^{-2}\mathrm{d}s,$$

*so*

$$a * b(x) := \int_0^x a(x \circ_\eta t_\circ^{-1})b(t)\mathrm{d}t = \eta(x)\int_0^x a(s)b(x \circ_\eta s_\circ^{-1})\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\eta(s)^2},$$

*for $a, b$ continuous; in particular, if $b$ is differentiable/$\mathcal{C}^\infty$, then so is the convolution function $a * b$, and*

$$a * b'(x) = \eta'(x)a * b(x) + b(0)a(x)/\eta(x) + \int_0^x a(s)b'(x \circ_\eta s_\circ^{-1})\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\eta(s)^3}.$$

**Proof.** Noting $\eta'_\rho(x) = \rho$, differentiation of $\eta(s_\circ^{-1}) = 1/\eta(s)$ gives

$$\rho\mathrm{d}(s_\circ^{-1}) = -\eta(s)^{-2}\rho\mathrm{d}s.$$

Put $s = x \circ t_\circ^{-1}$; then $t = x \circ s_\circ^{-1} = x + s_\circ^{-1}\eta(x)$. Finally,

$$b(x \circ_\eta s_\circ^{-1}) = b(x + s_\circ^{-1}\eta(x)),$$

which is differentiable in $x$; so $\mathrm{d}b(x \circ_\eta s_\circ^{-1})/\mathrm{d}x = b'(x \circ_\eta s_\circ^{-1})/\eta(s)$, since $1 + \rho s_\circ^{-1} = \eta(s_\circ^{-1}) = \eta(s)^{-1}$. □

The next two lemmas prepare the ground for a proof of Theorem 2. The setting here differs slightly from [BinO5, Th. 9] – we do not assume non-negativity of $\kappa, \psi$, but instead freely assume that $\psi$ is differentiable, since in applications $\psi$ is such (in view of Prop. A). From this, continuity of $K$ will be shown to imply *automatic differentiability* – for a textbook treatment of such matters see Járai [Jar]. We could just as easily assume $\psi$ monotone (also implied by Prop. A), since a monotone, continuous real function is differentiable almost everywhere [Rud, §8.15] (and is absolutely continuous iff it is the integral of its derivative).

**Lemma 4.** *For continuous $\kappa$, a non-trivial (i.e. non-zero) differentiable function $\psi$, and continuous $\eta \in GS$ : if the solution $K$ to (GBE-P) is continuous, then $K$ satisfies the difference equation*

$$K(x+u) - K(x) = \kappa(u/\eta(x))\psi(x),$$

*so $K$ has the flow representation*

$$xK(x) = \int_0^x K(t)\mathrm{d}t + \int_0^t \kappa((x-t)/\eta(t))\psi(t)\mathrm{d}t,$$

*and so is differentiable on $\mathbb{R}_+$.*

**Proof.** For $w := u + v\eta(u) = v + u\eta(v)$, $u = (w-v)/\eta(v)$, so

$$K(w) = \kappa((w-v)/\eta(v))\psi(v) + K(v). \qquad (***)$$

Now write $x$ for $v$ and $u$ for $(w-v)$ to obtain

$$K(x+u) - K(x) = \kappa(u/\eta(x))\psi(x).$$

In (***) integrate w.r.t. $v$ from 0 to $w$; then

$$wK(w) = \int_0^w K(v)\mathrm{d}v + \int_0^w \kappa((w-v)/\eta(v))\psi(v)\mathrm{d}v.$$

The second term, being a Beurling convolution, is differentiable by Prop. E. □

**Lemma 5 (Flow Homomorphism).** *If $K$ is a differentiable solution to (GBE-P), normalized so that $K(0) = 0$, then either $K \equiv 0$, or:*
(i) $K'(x) \equiv \kappa'(0) \cdot \psi(x)/\eta(x) = \kappa'(0)/f(x)$, *for $f(x)$ the flow-velocity* (of §1);
(ii) $K'(x)/K'(0) \equiv \kappa'(x)/\kappa'(0)$, *so $\kappa(x) = c\tau_f(x)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$;*
(iii) $K'/K'(0) : (\mathbb{R}, \circ_\sigma) \to (\mathbb{R}, \cdot)$ *is a homeomorphism:*

$$K'(x + y\eta(x)) = K'(x)K'(y).$$

*In particular, if $\psi(0) = 1$, then* $\qquad f(x + y\eta(x)) = f(x)f(y).$

**Proof.** Fixing $y$ with $\psi(y) \neq 0$, it follows from $(GBE\text{-}P)$ that $\kappa(x)$ is differentiable everywhere. Differentiating with respect to $x$ and using $x \circ_\eta y = y \circ_\eta x$

$$K'(x + y\eta(x))\eta(y) = \psi(y)\kappa'(x).$$

As $\eta(0) = 1$, substituting 0 alternately for one of $x$ and $y$, and then for both:

$$K'(y)\eta(y) = \psi(y)\kappa'(0), \qquad K'(x) = \psi(0)\kappa'(x), \qquad K'(0) = \psi(0)\kappa'(0).$$

So if $\psi(0)\kappa'(0) = 0$, then $K \equiv 0$. Otherwise, combining,

$$\kappa'(x)/\kappa'(0) = K'(x)/\kappa'(0)\psi(0) = K'(x)/K'(0),$$

and in particular $\kappa'(x) = c\psi(x)/\eta(x) = c/f$, with $c = \kappa'(0)/\psi(0)$. So $\kappa(x) = c\tau_f(x)$, as $\kappa(0) = 0$ (from $(GBE\text{-}P)$ for $x = y = 0$), giving (i) and (ii). So

$$\frac{K'(x + y\eta(x))}{K'(0)} = \frac{1}{K'(0)}\frac{\psi(y)}{\eta(y)}\kappa'(x) = \frac{1}{K'(0)} \cdot \frac{K'(y)}{\kappa'(0)} \cdot \frac{K'(x)}{\psi(0)} = \frac{K'(x)K'(y)}{K'(0)K'(0)},$$

equivalently, if $\psi(0) = 1$, $K'(0) = \kappa'(0)$, $f(x) \equiv \kappa'(0)/K'(x)$ is a homomorphism. □

**Proof of Th. 2.** Assuming $K$ non-constant, rescaling if necessary, without loss of generality $K(0) = 0$ and $K'(0) = 1$. Now combine Lemmas 4 and 5. □

**Acknowledgements.** We thank a number of colleagues for constructive comments and references, in particular: Nick Bingham, Charles Goldie, Mark Roberts, Amol Sasane, Tony Whelan.

**References.**

[Acz] J. Aczél, Extension of a generalized Pexider equation. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (2005), 3227–3233.

[AczD] J. Aczél, J. Dhombres, *Functional equations in several variables. With applications to mathematics, information theory and to the natural and social sciences.* Encyclopedia of Math. and its App., 31, CUP, 1989

[AczG] J. Aczél and S. Gołąb, Remarks on one-parameter subsemigroups of the affine group and their homo- and isomorphisms, *Aequat. Math.*, **4** (1970), 1-10.

[Bec] A. Beck, *Continuous flows on the plane*, Grundl. math. Wiss. **201**, Springer, 1974.

[BinGa] N. H. Bingham, B. Gashi, Logarithmic moving averages, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **42** (2015), 1790-1802.

[BinG] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, Extensions of regular variation: I. Uniformity and quantifiers, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **44** (1982), 473-496.

[BinGT] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie and J. L. Teugels, *Regular variation*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1989 (1st ed. 1987).

[BinO1] N. H. Bingham and A. J. Ostaszewski, The index theorem of topological regular variation and its applications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **358** (2009), 238-248.

[BinO2] N. H. Bingham and A. J. Ostaszewski, Topological regular variation. I: Slow variation; II: The fundamental theorems; III: Regular variation. *Topology and its Applications* **157** (2010), 1999-2013, 2014-2023, 2024-2037.
[BinO3] N. H. Bingham and A. J. Ostaszewski, The Steinhaus theorem and regular variation : De Bruijn and after, *Indagationes Mathematicae* **24** (2013), 679-692.
[BinO4] N. H. Bingham and A. J. Ostaszewski, Beurling slow and regular variation, *Trans. London Math. Soc.,* **1** (2014) 29-56
[BinO5] N. H. Bingham and A. J. Ostaszewski, Cauchy's functional equation and extensions: Goldie's equation and inequality, the Gołąb-Schinzel equation and Beurling's equation, arxiv.org/abs/1405.3947
[BinO6] N. H. Bingham and A. J. Ostaszewski, Beurling moving averages and approximate homomorphisms, arxiv.org/abs/1407.4093.
[BojK] R. Bojanić and J. Karamata, *On a class of functions of regular asymptotic behavior,* Math. Research Center Tech. Report 436, Madison, Wis. 1963; reprinted in *Selected papers of Jovan Karamata* (ed. V. Marić, Zevod Udžbenika, Beograd, 2009), 545-569.
[Brz1] J. Brzdęk, On the solutions of the functional equation $f(xf(y)l+yf(x)k) = tf(x)f(y)$, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **39** (1991), 175-183.
[Brz2] J. Brzdęk, Subgroups of the group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ and a generalization of the Gołąb-Schinzel functional equation, *Aequat. Math.* **43** (1992), 59-71.
[Brz3] J. Brzdęk, Some remarks on solutions of the functional equation $f(x + f(x)^n y) = tf(x)f(y)$, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **43** 1-2 (1993), 147-160.
[Brz4] J. Brzdęk, A generalization of the addition formulae, *Acta Math. Hungar.,* **101** (2003), 281-291.
[Brz5] J. Brzdęk, The Gołąb-Schinzel equation and its generalizations, *Aequat. Math.* **70** (2005), 14-24.
[Brz6] J. Brzdęk, Some remarks on solutions of a generalization of the addition formulae, *Aequationes Math.*, **71** (2006), 288–293.
[BrzM] J. Brzdęk and A. Mureńko, On a conditional Gołąb-Schinzel equation, *Arch. Math.* **84** (2005), 503-511.
[Chu1] J. Chudziak, Semigroup-valued solutions of the Gołąb-Schinzel type functional equation, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg,* **76** (2006), 91-98.
[Chu2] J. Chudziak, Semigroup-valued solutions of some composite equations, *Aequat. Math.* **88** (2014), 183-198.
[ChuK] J. Chudziak, Z. Kočan, Continuous solutions of conditional composite type functional equations, *Results Math.* **66** (2014), 199–211.
[ChuT] J. Chudziak, J. Tabor, Generalized Pexider equation on a restricted domain. *J. Math. Psych.* **52** (2008), 389–392.
[Coh1] P. M. Cohn, *Algebra*, Vol. 1, Weily 1982 ($2^{\text{nd}}$ ed.; $1^{\text{st}}$ ed. 1974).
[Coh2] P. M. Cohn, *Algebra*, Vol. 2, Weily 1989 ($2^{\text{nd}}$ ed.; $1^{\text{st}}$ ed. 1977).
[ColE] C. Coleman, D. Easdown, Decomposition of rings under the circle operation, *Contributions to Algebra and Geometry* **43** (2002), 55-58.
[Dal] H. G. Dales, *Automatic continuity: a survey*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **10** (1978),129-183.
[Jab] E. Jabłońska, On solutions of some generalizations of the Gołąb-Schinzel

equation. *Functional equations in mathematical analysis*, (ed. J. Brzdęk et al.) 509–521, Springer, 2012.
[Jac 1] N. Jacobson, Radical and Semisimplicity for arbitrary rings, *Amer. J. Math.*, 67 (1945), 300-320.
[Jac 2] N. Jacobson, *Lectures in abstract algebra*, Vol. I, Van Nostrand, 1951
[Jac 3] N. Jacobson, *Basic Algebra I*, Freeman, New York, 1985.
[Jar] A. Járai, *Regularity properties of functional equations in several variables*, Advances in Mathematics 8, Springer,2005.
[Jav] P. Javor, On the general solution of the functional equation $f(x+yf(x)) = f(x)f(y)$. *Aequat. Math.* **1** (1968), 235-238.
[KahS] P. Kahlig and J. Schwaiger, Transforming the functional equation of Gołąb-Schinzel into one of Cauchy. *Ann. Math. Sil.* **8** (1994), 33-38.
[Kor] J. Korevaar, *Tauberian theorems: A century of development*. Grundl. math. Wiss. **329**, Springer, 2004.
[Kuc] M. Kuczma, *An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities. Cauchy's equation and Jensen's inequality*. 2nd ed., Birkhäuser, 2009 [1st ed. PWN, Warszawa, 1985].
[Lun] A. Lundberg, On the functional equation $f(\lambda(x)+g(y)) = \mu(x)+h(x+y)$. *Aequat. Math.* **16** (1977), no. 1-2, 21–30.
[Loo] L. H. Loomis, *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis*, Van Nostrand 1953.
[Mur] A. Mureńko, On the general solution of a generalization of the Gołąb-Schinzel equation, *Aequationes Math.*, **77** (2009), 107-118.
[Ost1] A. J. Ostaszewski, Regular variation, topological dynamics, and the Uniform Boundedness Theorem, *Topology Proceedings*, **36** (2010), 305-336.
[Ost2] A. J. Ostaszewski, Beurling regular variation, Bloom dichotomy,and the Gołąb-Schinzel functional equation, *Aequationes Math.*, to appear.
[Ost3] A. J. Ostaszewski, Asymptotic group actions and their limits, in preparation.
[Pop] C. G. Popa, Sur l'équation fonctionelle $f[x + yf(x)] = f(x)f(y)$, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **17** (1965), 193-198.
[Rud] W. Rudin, Real and complex analysis, 3rd. ed., McGraw-Hill, 1987.

Mathematics Department, London School of Economics, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE; A.J.Ostaszewski@lse.ac.uk